

2.1 Logical Form and Logical Equivalence

p.37 # 4, 7-10, 13, 15, 17, 21-22, 24, 33, 35, 37, 41-44, 50, 54

- (4) a) IF p , then q . ;
 IF q , then r . ;
 \therefore IF p , then r .
 b) a polynomial;
 differentiable;
 is continuous

(7) $m \wedge \sim c$

- (8) a) $(h \wedge w) \wedge \sim s$
 b) $\sim w \wedge (h \wedge s)$
 c) $\sim(h \vee w \vee s)$
 d) $\sim(w \vee s) \wedge h$
 e) $w \wedge \sim(h \wedge s)$

(9) $(n \vee k) \wedge \sim(n \wedge k)$
 Alternatively, $n \oplus k$

- (10) a) $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$
 b) $p \wedge \sim q$
 c) $p \wedge \sim(q \vee r)$
 d) $\sim p \wedge q \wedge \sim r$
 e) $\sim p \vee (q \wedge r)$

(13)

p	q	$\sim(p \wedge q)$	$p \vee q$	$\sim(p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q)$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T

(15)

p	q	r	$\sim q$	$\sim q \vee r$	$p \wedge (\sim q \vee r)$
T	T	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	F
F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F
F	F	F	T	T	F

(17)

p	q	$\sim(p \wedge q)$	$\sim p \wedge \sim q$
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T

$\sim(p \wedge q)$ and $\sim p \wedge \sim q$ do not have identical truth tables, so therefore they are not logically equivalent.

(21)

p	q	r	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r$	$p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F

$(p \wedge q) \wedge r$ and $p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ have identical truth tables, so therefore they are logically equivalent.

(22)

p	q	r	$p \wedge (q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F

$p \wedge (q \vee r)$ and $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ have identical truth tables, so therefore they are logically equivalent.

24	p	q	r	$(p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	$(p \vee q) \wedge r$
	T	T	T	T	T
	T	T	F	T	F
	T	F	T	T	T
	T	F	F	T	F
	F	T	T	T	T
	F	T	F	T	F
	F	F	T	F	F
	F	F	F	F	F

$(p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ and $(p \vee q) \wedge r$ do not have the same truth table, so therefore they are not logically equivalent.

33 $x \leq -10 \vee 2 \leq x$

35 $-1 < x \leq 1$

37 $x < -7 \vee 0 \leq x$

41	p	q	$p \wedge \sim q$	$\sim p \vee q$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge (\sim p \vee q)$
	T	T	F	T	F
	T	F	T	F	F
	F	T	F	T	F
	F	F	F	T	F

The statement forms a contradiction.

42	p	q	r	$\sim p \wedge q$	$q \wedge r$	\wedge	\vee	$((\sim p \wedge q) \wedge (q \wedge r)) \wedge q$
	T	T	T	F	T	F	F	F
	T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F
	T	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
	T	F	F	F	F	F	T	F
	F	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
	F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
	F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
	F	F	F	F	F	F	T	F

The statement forms a contradiction

43	p	q	$\sim p \vee q$	$p \wedge \sim q$	$(\sim p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge \sim q)$
	T	T	T	F	T
	T	F	F	T	T
	F	T	T	F	T
	F	F	T	F	T

The statement forms a tautology.

44 a) $(-\infty, 2) \vee \sim(1, 3)$
equiv. to $\sim[2, 3)$

b) $(-\infty, 1] \vee (-\infty, 2) \vee [3, \infty)$
equiv. to $\sim[2, 3)$

The statements are logically equivalent.

50	$(p \wedge \sim q) \vee p$	commutative; sub q for $\sim q$
	$p \vee (p \wedge q)$	absorption
	p	✓

54	$(p \wedge (\sim(\sim p \vee q))) \vee (p \wedge q)$	De Morgan's
	$(p \wedge (\sim \sim p \wedge \sim q)) \vee (p \wedge q)$	Double Negative
	$(p \wedge (p \wedge \sim q)) \vee (p \wedge q)$	Associative
	$((p \wedge p) \wedge \sim q) \vee (p \wedge q)$	Idempotent
	$(p \wedge \sim q) \vee (p \wedge q)$	Distributive
	$p \wedge (\sim q \vee q)$	Negation
	$p \wedge t$	Identity
	p	✓